25

26

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Revocation of the Mortgage Banker License of:

No. 09F-BD001-BNK

**CONSENT ORDER** 

# GEORGE MARCUS DBA LIBERTY NATIONAL MORTGAGE 19209 North 83<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, Suite 104

Peoria, Arizona 85382

Respondent.

On July 31, 2008, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Department") issued a Notice of Hearing alleging that Respondent had violated Arizona law. Wishing to resolve this matter in lieu of an administrative hearing, Respondent does not contest the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consents to the entry of the following Order.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage ("Liberty National Mortgage") is authorized to transact business in Arizona as a mortgage broker, license number MB 0901902, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 6-901, et seq. The nature of Respondent's business is that of making, negotiating, or offering to make or negotiate mortgage loans secured by Arizona real property within the meaning of A.R.S. § 6-901(6).
- 2. An examination of Liberty National Mortgage conducted by the Department on July 23, 2007 revealed that Respondent:
  - a. Failed to notify the Superintendent in writing and within five (5) business days of the occurrence of the change of address of the principal place of business;
  - b. Failed to use the license number as issued on its principal place of business license, and failed to include the required disclosures within regulated advertising in at least two (2) advertisements and/or solicitations, specifically:
    - Respondent's license number is missing from its "We'll Finance the Dream!" i. advertisement; and
    - Respondent's "1.75" advertisement is missing the terms and conditions and ii.

failed to present the Annual Percentage Rate in the same size type as the rate;

- c. Failed to conduct the minimum elements of reasonable employee investigations prior to hiring employees, specifically:
  - Respondent failed to obtain completed and dated "I9" forms (Employment Eligibility Verification Form) prior to hiring four (4) employees;
  - ii. Respondent failed to conduct further investigation of two (2) employees with derogatory credit reports; and
  - iii. Respondent failed to correct this violation from its previous examination;
- d. Failed to maintain correct and complete records, specifically:
  - Respondent could not provide any back-up documentation to the examiner during the examination, specifically: deposit slips/receipts for deposits made and bills/invoices for checks written;
- e. Failed to maintain a complete loan application list, specifically:
  - Respondent's loan application list failed to include applications that were declined, withdrawn, or cancelled;
  - ii. Respondent's loan application list failed to include all closed loans; and
  - iii. Respondent's loan application list failed to include all loans in process;
- f. Failed to maintain originals or copies of loan transactions, specifically:
  - i. Respondent failed to maintain mortgage loan applications for two (2) borrowers;
- g. Allowed borrowers to sign regulated documents containing blank spaces without completing an authorization form to do so, specifically:
  - i. Four (4) borrowers' truth-in-lending statements were incomplete;
- h. Failed to comply with the disclosure requirements of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 through 1666j), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 2601 through 2617), and the regulations promulgated

under these acts, specifically:

- Respondent failed to provide complete truth-in-lending statements to four (4)
   borrowers; and
- ii. Respondent failed to disclose the yield spread premium on the good faith estimate to three (3) borrowers;
- Failed to use a statutorily correct written fee/document agreement signed by all parties, specifically:
  - i. Four (4) mortgage loan files were missing written agreements; and
  - ii. Respondent does not have a written agreement form;
- j. Failed to use proper appraisal disclosures, specifically:
  - i. Respondent has used a disclosure entitled "NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF RIGHT TO RECEIVE A COPY OF APPRAISAL REPORT" that includes a ninety (90) day limit on the amount of time in which an applicant may request the appraisal; and
- k. George Marcus ("Mr. Marcus"), Respondent's Responsible Individual, failed to be in active management of Respondent's activities as governed by A.R.S. Title 6, Chapter 9, Article 1, specifically:
  - i. Mr. Marcus has failed to supervise Respondent's compliance with A.R.S.

    Title 6, Chapter 9 as it relates to the licensee and other applicable rules, as
    evidenced by the eleven (11) violations of law and Mr. Marcus' lack of
    proficiency with the computer systems used by Respondent.
- 3. Based on the above findings, the Department issued and served upon Respondent a Notice of Assessment on January 15, 2008.
- 4. A copy of the Department's Report of Examination ("Report") accompanied the Notice of Assessment served upon Respondent. Page seventeen (17) of the Report states, "Within 30 days of the cover letter accompanying this report of examination, [Respondent] must advise the

Superintendent of the actions taken to correct the violations of laws, and the measures implemented to prevent future violations."

- 5. The cover letter which accompanied the Report was dated January 15, 2008. Given five (5) days for mailing time, plus the thirty (30) day deadline, Respondent's final date to respond to the Superintendent's request for a response to the examination report was February 19, 2008.
- 6. Chris Dunshee ("Mr. Dunshee"), the Department's examiner in charge of Respondent's examination, contacted Respondent by telephone and left messages on the following dates: February 26, 2008; May 6, 2008; May 9, 2008 and May 22, 2008. Throughout that time period, Mr. Dunshee spoke with Respondent on one (1) occasion. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Dunshee explained the importance of responding to the Superintendent's request for information. Respondent claimed he had provided a response to the examination report; however, to date, the Department has no record of any response.
- 7. On or about May 9, 2008, Respondent contacted Robert Charlton, Assistant Superintendent at the Department, and advised that he would hand-deliver another copy of his response to the Department on May 12, 2008. To date, the Department has not received the requested response to Liberty's examination report.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-901, et seq., the Superintendent has the authority and duty to regulate all persons engaged in the mortgage broker business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules, and regulations relating to mortgage brokers.
- 2. By the conduct set forth in the Findings of Fact, George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage violated the following:
  - a. A.R.S. § 6-904(F) and A.A.C. R20-4-916, by failing to notify the Superintendent in writing and within five (5) business days of the occurrence of the change of address of the principal place of business;
  - b. A.R. S. §§ 6-903(M) and 6-906(D), by failing to use the license number as issued on

- its principal place of business license, and failing to include the required disclosures within regulated advertising in at least two (2) advertisements and/or solicitations;
- c. A.R.S. § 6-903(N) and A.A.C. R20-4-102, by failing to conduct the minimum elements of reasonable employee investigations prior to hiring employees;
- d. A.R.S. § 6-906(A) and A.A.C. R20-4-917(B), by failing to maintain correct and complete records;
- e. A.A.C. R20-4-917(B)(1), by failing to maintain a complete loan application list;
- f. A.R.S. § 6-906(A) and A.A.C. R20-4-917(B)(6), by failing to maintain originals or copies of loan transactions;
- g. A.R.S. § 6-909(A) and A.A.C. R20-4-921, by allowing borrowers to sign regulated documents containing blank spaces without completing an authorization form to do so;
- h. A.R.S. § 6-906(D) and A.A.C. R20-4-917(B)(6)(e), by failing to comply with the disclosure requirements of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 through 1666j), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 2601 through 2617), and the regulations promulgated under these acts;
  - . A.R.S. § 6-906(C), by failing to use a statutorily correct written fee/document agreement signed by all parties;
- j. A.R.S. § 6-906(C), by failing to use proper appraisal disclosures;
- k. A.R.S. § 6-903(E) and A.A.C. R20-4-102, by the failure of the Responsible Individual, Mr. Marcus, to be in active management of Respondent's activities as governed by A.R.S. Title 6, Chapter 9, Article 1; and
- I. A.R.S. §§ 6-123(3) and 6-124, by the failure of Respondent to timely respond to the Superintendent's request for information.
- 3. Respondent's failure to respond to a request for information from the Superintendent constitutes violations of A.R.S. §§ 6-123(3) and 6-124, which constitute grounds to suspend or

revoke the mortgage broker license of George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage, number MB 0901902, pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-905(A).

- 4. The violations of applicable laws, set forth above, constitute grounds to suspend or revoke Respondent's mortgage broker license, pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-905(A).
- 5. The violations, set forth above, constitute grounds for the pursuit of any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage brokers in Arizona pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.
- 6. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132, Respondent's violations of the aforementioned statutes are grounds for a civil penalty of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation for each day.

### **ORDER**

- 1. Respondent shall immediately stop the violations set forth above in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
  - 2. Respondent shall timely provide appropriate responses to the Superintendent.
- 3. Respondent shall immediately pay to the Department a civil money penalty in the amount of two thousand, five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00).
- 4. Respondent shall immediately provide a response to the Report of Examination for George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage's July 23, 2007 examination.
- 5. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage, its employees, agents, and other persons participating in the conduct of the affairs of Liberty National Mortgage.
- 6. The provisions of this Order shall be binding upon Respondent, and resolves the Notice of Hearing, subject to compliance with the requirements of this Order. Should Respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Superintendent shall institute further disciplinary proceedings.

1	7. This Order shall become effective upon service, and shall remain effective and
2	enforceable until such time as, and except to the extent that, it shall be stayed, modified, terminated,
3	or set aside.
4	SO ORDERED this 16 day of Septem her, 2008.
5	
6	Felecia A. Rotellini
7	Superintendent of Financial Institutions
8	Rhto. Clt
9	By: Robert D. Charlton Assistant Superintendent of Financial Institutions
10	CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER
11	1. Respondent acknowledges that it has been served with a copy of the foregoing
12	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the above-referenced matter, has read the same
13	is aware of its right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and has waived the same.
14	2. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Superintendent and consents to the entry of
15	the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.
16	3. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce it to
17	consent to the entry of this Order, and that it has done so voluntarily.
18	4. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Agreement by the
19	Superintendent is solely to settle this matter and does not preclude this Department, any other agency
20	or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other proceedings as may be
21	appropriate now or in the future.
22	5. George Marcus, on behalf of George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage and
23	himself, represents that he is the owner, and that, as such, has been authorized by George Marcus
24	dba Liberty National Mortgage to consent to the entry of this Order on its behalf.
25	•••
26	

1	6. Respondent waives all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or
2	contest the validity of this Consent Order.
3	DATED this 16th day of September, 2008.
4	
5	By Description
6	George Marcus, Owner George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage
7	
8	
9	
10	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 16th
11	day of <u>September</u> , 2008, in the office of:
12	Felecia A. Rotellini Superintendent of Financial Institutions
13	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ATTN: Susan Longo
14	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
15	Phoenix, AZ 85018
16	COPY mailed/delivered same date to:
17	Hon. Lewis Kowal Administrative Law Judge
18	Office of the Administrative Hearings
19	1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007
	Erin O. Gallagher
20	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
21	1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
22	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent
23	Chris Dunshee, Examiner In Charge
24	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
25	Phoenix, AZ 85018
26	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:

1	George Marcus dba Liberty National Mortgage
2	Attn: George Marcus, Owner 19209 N. 83 <sup>rd</sup> Ave., Ste. 104
3	Peoria, AZ 85382
	Respondent
4	
5	Susan Lingo
6	290989; PHX-AGN-2008-0483
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	